# Knowledge Base 

Prolog

## Prolog Knowledge Base

- Knowledge base = database
- set of facts/rules in the program
- Can add/subtract facts and rules at run time
- Adding facts/rules
- assert, asserta, assertz
- Subtracting facts/rules
- retract, retractall


## Asserting a Fact

- Just tell prolog that it's so
?- raining.
ERROR: Undefined procedure: raining/0
?- assert(raining).
true.
?- raining.
true.
- Prolog didn't know about raining/0


## Assertion Order

- assert/1 puts the fact/rule in the database
- doesn't specify where the entry is added in the database
- (SWI-Prolog puts it at the end)
- The order of clauses for a predicate is very important as Prolog attempts to match clause heads in the order they were consulted
- asserta/1 puts fact/rule in front
- assertz/1 puts fact/rule at end


## Assertion Order

```
?- assert(num(1)).
?- assertz (num(2)).
?- asserta(num(0)).
?- assertz (num(3)).
?- num(X).
X = 0 ;
X = 1 ;
X = 2 ;
X = 3
```


## Assertion Order: Exercise

?- asserta (what(1)).
?- assertz (what(2)).
?- asserta (what(3)).
?- assertz (what(4)).
?- asserta (what(5)).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ?-\text { what }(\mathrm{X}) . \\
& \mathrm{X}=? ; \\
& \mathrm{X}=? ; \\
& \mathrm{X}=?
\end{aligned}
$$

## Asserting Rules

- Rules can also be asserted:
- Rules are enclosed in brackets and without the final full-stop ?- $\operatorname{assert}((\operatorname{mother}(X, Y):-\operatorname{son}(Y, X), f e m a l e(X)])$ ).


## Example database manipulation

?- assert(son(tom,sue)).
true.
?- assert(female(sue)).
true.
?- assert((mother (X,Y):-son(Y,X),female(X))). true.
?- mother (sue,tom).
true.

- To see the the rule/fact in knowledge base:
?- listing(mother/2).


## Monotonic vs. Nonmonotonic logic

- Standard logic is monotonic: once something is true, it is true forever
- Logic isn't good to fit reality: reality may change!
- Prolog uses nonmonotonic logic
- Facts and rules can be changed at any time
- such facts and rules are said to be dynamic


## Marking clauses as "Dynamic"

- Standard Prolog allow to assert and retract clauses without any restrictions
- SWI-Prolog and some others require to mark variable clauses as "dynamic" to be manipulated during runtime
:- dynamic raining/0.
- The ":-" at the beginning is mandatory


## Exercise

- Write a predicate that asks the user for a person's parents \& asserts those facts
?- add_parents (mark).
Who is mark's father? bob.
Who is mark's mother? mary.
Yes
?- father (mark, Dad), mother(mark, Mom). Dad = bob, Mom = mary


## Solution

```
askParents (Person) : -askforWho(Person,father,Dad), askforWho (Person,mother,Mom), assert(father (Person,Dad)), assert (mother (Person,Mom)) .
```

| askforWho (Person, Role,Name) :- | write ('Who is '), |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | write (Person), |
|  | write('\'s'), |
|  | write(Role), |
|  | write('? '), |
|  | read (Name). |

## Retraction

- Tell Prolog to remove a fact/rule

> ?- raining.

Yes
?- retract(raining).
Yes
?- raining.
No

## Retraction Order

- From first to last
?- retract(num(0)), retract(num(1)). true;
true.
- retract fails if no clause matches


## Retracting All Clauses

- rectractall/1 retracts multiple clauses
- all clauses with head matching the argument

```
?- num(N).
N = 2;
N = 3
?- retractall(num(N)).
Yes
?- num(N).
false.
```


## Retracting Rules

- As for asserting rules
- use parentheses if body is compound
- body may be a variable/partly instantiated
?- retract ((mother (X,Y):-son (Y,X),female (X))). true.
?- mother (sue, tom).
false.


## Asserting and Retracting

- Used for Al programs that learn
- create a new rule \& add it to the database
- forget an old rule
- Can also be used for efficiency
- asserta solutions previously found
- found before general code called


## Naïve Fibonacci

```
fib(1, 1).
fib(2, 1).
fib(N, F):-N > 2,
                                    N1 is \(N\) - 1, fib (N1, F1),
N2 is \(N\) - 2, fib(N2, F2),
Fis F1 + F2.
```


## Trace fib(5,F)

fib (5, $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ )
fib (4, $F_{1}$ )
fib (3, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ )
$\mathrm{fib}\left(2, \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{3}=1$
$\mathrm{fib}\left(1, \mathrm{~F}_{4}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{4}=1$
$\mathrm{fib}\left(2, \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{5}=1$
fib (3, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ )
$\mathrm{fib}\left(2, \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{3}=1$
$\mathrm{fib}\left(1, \mathrm{~F}_{4}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{4}=1$
fib(3, F) gets calculated again extra work done much worse as \#s get bigger

## Assertional Fibonacci

fibon (1, 1).
fibon (2, 1).
fibon (N, F) :-
N > 2,
N1 is $N-1, f i b o n(N 1, F 1)$,
N2 is $N$ - 2, fibon (N2, F2),
Fis F1 + F2,
asserta(fibon(N, F)). \% remember the result
\% at the beginning

## Trace fibon(5,F)

fibon (5, $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ )
fibon (4, $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ )
fibon (3, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ )
fibon $\left(2, F_{3}\right) \rightarrow F_{3}=1$
fibon $\left(1, F_{4}\right) \rightarrow F_{4}=1$
asserta (fibon (3,2))
fibon (2, $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ ) $\rightarrow \quad \mathrm{F}_{5}=1$
asserta (fibon $(4,3)) \rightarrow$ fib(3)
fibon $\left(3, F_{6}\right) \rightarrow F_{6}=2$ asserta(fib2 (5,5))
$\rightarrow$ Saves work from calculating
$\rightarrow$ Matches asserted fact - no need to recalculate

## Collecting all solutions

## Collecting all solutions

- Generate all of the solutions to a given goal ?- member ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{[1,2,3,4]} \mathrm{)}$.
$\mathrm{x}=1$;
$\mathrm{x}=2$;
$\mathrm{x}=3$;
$\mathrm{x}=4$;
no
- It is useful to have all the generated objects available together-for example collected into a list
- The built-in predicates bagof, setof, and findall serve this purpose


## Meta-predicates

- findall/3, setof $/ 3$, and bagof/3 are all meta-predicates


## findall(X,P,L) setof(X,P,L) <br> All produce a list $L$ of all the objects $X$ such bagof( $X, P, L$ ) that goal $P$ is satisfied

- They all repeatedly call the goal P, instantiating the variable $X$ within $P$ and adding it to the list $L$
- They succeed when there are no more solutions


## findall/3

- findall/3 is the most straightforward of the three, and the most commonly used:
?- findall(X, member(X, [1,2,3,4]), Results). Results $=[1,2,3,4]$ yes
- Solutions are listed in the result in the same order in which Prolog finds them
- If there are duplicated solutions, all are included. If there are infinitely-many solutions, it will never terminate!


## findall/3

- The findall/3 can be used in more sophisticated ways
- The second argument, which is the goal, might be a compound goal:
?- findall (X, (member (X,[1,2,3,4]),X > 2), R). $\mathrm{R}=[3,4]$ ?
Yes
- The first argument can be a term of any complexity:
?- findall ( $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}$, (member $(\mathrm{X},[1,2,3,4]$ ) Y is $\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{X}), \mathrm{R})$.
$\mathrm{R}=[1 / 1,2 / 4,3 / 9,4 / 16]$ ?
yes


## setof/3

- setof/3 works very much like findall/3, except that:
- It produces the set of all results, with any duplicates and the results sorted
- If any variables are used in the goal, which do not appear in the first argument, setof/ 3 will return a separate result for each possible instantiation of that variable:

```
age (peter,7). Age = 5,
age (ann, 5). \(\quad R=[a n n, t o m] ;\)
age (pat, 8). Age = 7,
age (tom, 5 ). \(\quad R=\) [peter];
age \((a n n, 5) . \quad\) Age \(=8\),
    Knowledge base
?-setof (Child, age (Child, Age), R).
R = [pat];
no
```


## setof/3

- A nested call to setof/3 collects together the individual results:
?- setof (Age/Children, setof (Child,age (Child,Age), Children), AllRes). AllRes $=$ [5/[ann,tom],7/[peter], 8/[pat]].
- If the variable that appear in the first argument is not important:
?- setof(Child, Age^age (Child,Age), R).
R = [ann,pat,peter,tom].
- This reads: 'Find the set of all children, such that the Child has an Age (whatever it might be), and put the results in $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$


## bagof/3

- bagof/3 is very much like setof/3 except:
- that the list of results might contain duplicates
- and isn't sorted
?- bagof (Child, age(Child,Age), Results).
Age = 5, Results = [tom,ann,ann]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Age }=7, \text { Results }=\text { [peter] } \\
& \text { Age }=8, \text { Results }=\text { [pat] } .
\end{aligned}
$$

- bagof/3 is different to findall/3 as it will generate separate results for all the variables in the goal that do not appear in the first argument
?- findall (Child, age (Child,Age), Results). Results = [peter, pat,tom,ann,ann].

